

# San Diego Homeless News

May 29, 2017 • San Diego's Underground Newspaper • Our Eighth Year

A POLITICAL VOICE OF THE HOMELESS RIGHTS MOVEMENT



## TOP 10 REASONS FOR SINGLE PAYER

- 1 Everybody in, nobody out
- 2 Portability: Change jobs, get divorced, lose your job, etc. - won't lose coverage
- 3 Uniform benefits for everyone
- 4 Enhance Prevention
- 5 Choose your physician
- 6 Ends insurance industry interference with care
- 7 Reduces administrative waste
- 8 Saves money
- 9 Common Sense Budgeting - set fair reimbursements and apply them equally
- 10 Public oversight, public ownership

SHARE IF YOU THINK HEALTHCARE IS A RIGHT AND NOT A PRIVILEGE

## San Diego adds new Homeless Committee to the City Council Page 3, Column 2

**Format:** Editorials on pressing issues or big problems, Other editorials stressing solutions, Help and ideas for dealing with the homeless situation (both for the homeless and the public), Important meetings, News often censored out of the media, News Media News, and interesting political impact, maybe a cartoon, and ending with Snide Comments.

Contributors usually demand anonymity, to avoid being targeted for loss of their job and/or housing. This is done by re-writing stories to conceal the identity of the author, per their request. **In This Issue:** (1) Updates on Data, Hepatitis, Final Word on the Homeless Count • (2, 3) Chris Ward's Plans • (3, 4) Meetings • (4) Other News • (5) Snide Comments •

## Detailed Homeless Data is Available for SD:

[rtfhsd.org/publications/sandiegohomeslessdashboard/](http://rtfhsd.org/publications/sandiegohomeslessdashboard/)

and [rtfhsd.org/system-framework/](http://rtfhsd.org/system-framework/)

## Update on Hepatitis:

Way more people got the **Hepatitis A** virus than indicated in the news. I was sick over a month, and entirely dysfunctional. In that time, I missed a meeting of the MAPSS (Metropolitan Area Providers of Social Services), also missing a private meeting with City Council Member Chris Ward, and failing to update CityBeat Editor Seth Combs on an important issue per his request. (The info he requested is on this page, "Current San Diego...") The May 1 Edition came out 10 days late, too. I am still not 100%, but I can now function somewhat. The only doorknobs and such that I touched downtown in the critical period of acquiring the illness were the ones at City Hall and the Library. And, the only place I ate food downtown in over a month previous to the disease was at Burger King, 11th and B. I always pay for food using plastic, so I have a track record as to where and when I ate. San Diego County Health now claims the source of the Hep virus was the East County. Okay, I ate only one place there in that critical time, Rally's, a block west of Second and East Main St. in El Cajon.

## Peace Memorial Day:

Once called War Memorial Day, and then simply Memorial Day, our holiday which begins the summer season honors the peace that follows war, and those who sacrificed to establish that peace. War is ugly and brutal, not something to remember, but the peace that results from it is sweet. So, Peace Memorial Day honors those who fought to give us that peace, and they must be honored for their courage, strength, and determination. We all owe you a great debt. If only society treated homeless veterans with the respect that they richly deserve. Thank you, and you are someone to fight for.

## Convention Center Summary:

Despite being in our eleventh year of the 2006 Second Great Economic Depression, Mayor Faulconer wants to spend big money, not on a new stadium, but this time on a Convention Center expansion. He obviously doesn't know that tourism is dead, including conventions, at our present levels of homelessness.

The Convention Center loses money, and way more money than is admitted, because the City cooks the books by bundling together costs so that they are not in sufficient detail to prove that. The Center brings in money, too, but it goes mostly to the wealthy hotel owners who do not live here. San Diego only gets to keep the scab-wage salaries of hospitality employees economically chained-up as slaves to work in the San Diego Convention "Plantation".

With fewer and fewer conventions, plus more cities competing for that business in this shrinking market, spending money on this is ridiculous. It's all about cash for Mob-related construction firms that give big election-money kickbacks, isn't it? The City doesn't even own the land involved, and no longer has Eminent Domain rights over it, since it came on the market recently and the City neither bid on it nor expressed any interest. The proposed Referendum, instead of being about one thing only, is about three different things at the same time, the other two being money for street improvements plus money for the homeless situation. So, there is a legal validity argument. If we live up to our reputation that other cities have of San Diego, which is "America's Stupidest City", then it fits in, but we have gotten smarter, getting rid of the San Diego Chargers and the plans for a new stadium. So, will two-thirds of the voters approve? Maybe in the past, but some of the loopholes in counting elections ballots have been plugged, and now our elections are no longer "fixed". But wait a minute. You also want the taxpayers to pay \$7 million for a Special Election on this issue? Why not instead give \$3.5 million to road repairs, and the other \$3.5 million to improved homeless programs? Let's come away from this being way more intelligent than "America's Stupidest City".

**Jeenie Criscenzo** said, "The issue is the (City) Council will be voting on a Special Election for increasing TOT tax to fund convention center expansion, with some crumbs thrown in to funding for homelessness and road repairs. **I feel this is holding those of us working for ending homelessness as hostages – if we want money for this we will have to vote for the convention center expansion. Why don't we just increase the TOT tax to fund ending homelessness, since this is having a far worse impact on tourism than the current size of the convention center.** I think we need to turn the tables on the Mayor and say, if you want to expand the convention center, solve this problem, FIRST!"

## Current San Diego Homeless History

### Final Word on the PITC (Point-In-Time-Count) 2017

The PITC came and went, fake numbers used to show whatever the Controllers of Society wish to show, and there was much interest, but not much interest in why the flaws in the count make it of very little use except politically. This has all been the same-old same-old for over 30 years. Most San Diego homeless are experts at hiding, and can pass for tourists, businessmen, or whatever, not being counted. The biggest flaw in the January 2017 count, however, is the 5,000 homeless who were arrested by the police, and so were in jail at the time of the count, and thus not counted. So, what we really had, and the police helped to conceal, is not just 4,000 sheltered homeless and 5,000 street homeless, but double that number on the streets, the other 5,000 not counted because they were in jail. Understand?

This skews the data, but the reality is that **the number of sidewalk homeless DOUBLED in 2016**, which is why they/we are so visible. Tents? This has nothing to do with tents, that's just an excuse. What does it cost to hold five thousand people in jail? About a million dollars a day. **To keep San Diego's 4,000 sheltered plus 5,000 sidewalk plus 5,000 jailed homeless in jail would cost about a billion**

**dollars a year.** Better uses for this money would be stadiums, tourism, convention centers, and infrastructure such as potholes and sewers.

**CityBeat** editorialist **Michael McConnell**, in their May 3 issue, tries to downplay some of this, and repeats the decades-old lie, which is, "Now, finally, something is really being done on the homeless issue." Wrong. Been hearing that since 1972. The **San Diego Housing Commission's** plan to spend the \$82 million in HUD money is also a repeat of past failures, but does keep alive the big cash-cow that the service providers have been milking for years. I list the flaws and propose changes in the May 1 edition of this newspaper, and summarize their waste in this issue, next article. The HUD \$82 million is only about half enough money to keep up with the real number of homeless, plus it is being squandered by utilizing the least-effective plans available. If those doing planning had set aside land for dealing with the homeless problem, way back 14 years ago when they started making that happen, all this would cost only 20% of what it needs to cost now. As for the **Father Joe's Villages (CEO Deacon Jim Vargas)** plan to buy and convert present hotels and motels, this is a good idea, but the plan comes at least ten years too late, the real estate prices having already skyrocketed out of control. It will all cost 5 times what it would have, if the land were set aside or the hotels purchased back when the Baseball-Mafia-Church takeover of real estate began. Petco Park should have been built in Lakeside, South Bay, or Scripps Ranch, for example, rather than causing 4,000 downtown homeless.

**There is Good News:** Although I have not yet been able to speak to **City Council Member Chris Ward** on the homeless issue, almost all of his plans are amazingly good, and would work well. They aren't all new, a few having got an absolute refusal by the City to even consider them when proposed in the past, but if homelessness is a "priority" in reality, not just in propaganda, maybe things could happen. If you think Ward is just following in the footsteps of failed plans by his mentors, including Todd Gloria, Toni Atkins, and Scott Peters, you're wrong. He has the first promising stuff proposed by a politician in at least 20 years on the issue of San Diego homelessness. I will be evaluating what he has written, but I only find fault with about 5% of it. This issue, next article.

As for the **plans of Mayor Faulconer**, well, here's the facts: The only thing he did on the homeless issue in 3 years is to close the tent shelters, causing more sidewalk homeless. In fact, for the first time ever, the City in the past 3 years has absolutely NO plan to deal with homelessness! That is why I wrote my 5.1 Regional Plan, and I welcome any criticisms to it. Faulconer intends to continue harassment, sweeps, shipping homeless elsewhere, and all sorts of other past failed plans, including "Housing Our Heroes", which was supposed to house 100% of the homeless veterans but only housed 9%. He is still thinking in the Ronald Reagan "Voodoo Economics" era.

Regarding the **departure of Stacey Spector**, it was obvious that she was a hedge in case Hillary Clinton won the election, and her other job was to try to sell Mayor Faulconer's homeless plans, which are based upon continuing past failures, and pushing the problem farther into the future.

As for his **Homeless Intake Center**, some of the advocates oppose it, others favor it, and the Mayor of Salt Lake County (Utah) said that having only one single center was their biggest mistake. Too much money, too limited by location (wherever it is), causing a transportation problem, and does not take

into account the fact that homeless DO NOT move to where services are available, instead waiting for services to move to them. The accumulation of homeless downtown is purely a transportation problem. Many beds are available in Vista, Escondido, and even El Cajon, yet no one wants them. Why? **Better to be on the sidewalk in your home neighborhood than to have a posh apartment, free, in an area you do not want to live in.** The politicians need to start understanding the homeless mentality if they expect to have any successes. Move to City Heights? Or, Escondido? Okay, why not move to Chicago, or New Orleans? The Mayor's plan would make money for the Mob construction firms, plus potential (campaign money) kickbacks, along with a big resounding cry of "We spent tons and tons of money on the homeless problem" from the City's do-nothing Mayor and Council, and it even creates a transportation problem, getting folks to the Intake Center. El Vomito. I think we all agree that **having a huge homeless problem is awful** for tourism, business investments, public health, neighborhood appearances, real estate values, stink, cleanup costs, and way more. My point is that homelessness has an effect on everyone, not just the homeless. Costs? Well if we continue the cash-cow process of wasting money, infinity. Is that what we want? The nonprofits and other service providers are exactly what holds the failed solutions "big money machine" in place, and it is in their best interests to have more homeless, so they can ask for more money, right?

Moving along to the subject of a **new District Attorney**, most ACLU lawyers would work well, and my favorites would be **Cory Briggs, Mike Aguirre, or even (Robert) Scott Dreher.** Any of these could help straighten out the corruption in San Diego, but would be crucified continuously in the Mob's news media, of course. Former Mayor Filner would make a good advisor to any meetings of these candidates, too.

## Chris Ward's Plans and My Comments:

**Chris Ward's plans to end homelessness** are the best I have ever read, and I give an evaluation, including mentioning the two or three tiny things that I disagree with, and why. Do not get the idea from my criticism of some issues (5%) that I am critical of his agenda. He has been the only elected official that has, so far, EVER made sense on the Homeless issue. I am amazed. No San Diego Mayor was ever playing with a full deck on the homeless issue, with Mayor Filner coming the closest to reality there, and, so far, Chris Ward is the first Member of the City Council that has made any sense. First off, his plans can be found on the City website, at the below link. My 5.1 Regional Plan can be found at the other below link. I suggest you read them both.

[sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/cd3\\_memo\\_032017\\_homeless\\_strategies.pdf](http://sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/cd3_memo_032017_homeless_strategies.pdf)

[nz9f.com/yahoo\\_site\\_admin/assets/docs/51\\_Regional\\_Plan.351135500.pdf](http://nz9f.com/yahoo_site_admin/assets/docs/51_Regional_Plan.351135500.pdf)

Ward wants a **comprehensive and ongoing review of public lands, for potential use for Affordable or Permanent Supportive Housing.** Homeless advocates have proposed this, but were never taken seriously. This would help. There are State laws which mandate this sort of thing, but they get disobeyed and not enforced. San Diego's "Civic San Diego" (Downtown Partnership - Clean and Safe) has allegedly been a prime culprit.

Ward also calls for a **Land Trust for low-income housing,** which could help with regulating affordability, possibly leasing city-owned land, long-term, for housing development. Been tried with success in other places, but a new idea here.

Chris Ward calls for **zoning which permits low-income housing everywhere,** plus expediting and exempting low-income projects. This is key, of course, and has always been staunchly opposed by the City.

He calls for **nuisance property abatement via conversion to affordable or supportive housing,** but I see the problem of location on this one. Data on where our homeless are willing to live indicates that **unsuitable locations do not get utilized.** For example, Vista and Escondido usually have a large number of unused housing resources, but nobody wants to go there. From a homeless perspective, it is better to live on the sidewalk in the neighborhood you want than to have a nice apartment in a neighborhood you don't want. Not understanding this has been a very huge problem regarding San Diego planning and development. So, the homeless are "mentally ill" in this form of thinking? No, they have experience at homelessness, and you do not.

Chris Ward suggests **using the San Jose (AB 2176) model** to suspend state building, safety, and health codes in order to construct inexpensive temporary

housing. And, homeowners should be encouraged to construct secondary units on their properties. Good idea. Jeannie Criscenzo's nonprofit, Amikas, has been trying to do this sort of thing. She specialized in housing female veterans, and once ran for Congress opposing Darryl Issa.

Ward realizes that **low-barrier temporary housing** is necessary, without conditions like sobriety, religion, check-in times, and other restrictions. Some of these conditions, such as check-in times, have meant that people are unable to hold jobs that require them to work certain hours. Other restrictions, such as religious participation, have led to abuses of Spirituality, often leading to mental illness and/or suicide.

**Shelter opportunities** must be expanded, such as being able to check in 24 hours, and there is the suggestion of using Golden Hall or the Old Downtown Library. I suggested using the Convention Center, or some parts of it, during the many days that at least some of it sees no use, or even using Qualcomm Stadium for emergency shelter space.

**Diversion and Rapid Rehousing** must also be increased, because they are two of the three proactive solutions, the other being ESG's, which originally meant Emergency Shelter Grants, but that was changed to **Emergency Solutions Grants.** You need your car repaired to get to work, or you will lose your job and then your housing? HUD does have ESG's, which are administered by licensed Social Workers. The question that I did not get to ask at the RTFH Board Meeting (this edition) dealt with ESG's, asking that they be considered in the Regional Plan that Focus Strategies is producing.

**Project Homeless Connect?** I covered that in extreme detail more than one year, and I do not consider it to be of value. Abuses of Human Spirituality, greedy service providers, waiting lists for housing that is not available, free cell phones that do not work, a lot more. It needs to be banned or reworked. The event completely lacks the perspective of the homeless, so it is lost in the fog.

**Homeless Care Zones** are proposed, where people can sleep in a car or RV, legally. Some of this currently occurs, via Dreams For Change, but I expand the concept to deal with voter approval of **two kinds of zones, as desired by the residents of each community:** Vote A, and your precinct or community is zoned for homeless care, and all of those expenses are at no cost to you, nor your BID, nor your homeowner group. Free. Or, instead, Vote B, and your neighborhood can prohibit homeless. All they need to do is pay all of the costs of caring for the homeless somewhere else.

Ward goes on to propose the expansion of **Outreach** programs, establish a **Protocol** for dealing with the homeless uniformly, development of a jobs program, increased **volunteer opportunities, legislative action,** and the identification of **potential new revenues,** including Social Impact Bonds, which are results-driven grants. Utah currently uses them. I cover some of these things in my **5.1 Regional Plan** to end homelessness in San Diego City and County, link above (beginning of this article).

Ward backs the downtown **Maker's Quarters** project, according to an interview published by the Downtown News (SDCNN), and we disagree. The area is already too built-up, so this needs to be done someplace else to avoid further overcrowding and scarifying real estate downtown.

Now I go into **my Opinion,** and some other ideas. I agree with just about everything he proposes, and he is remarkably thorough. He is unlikely to get support among those who make money from the present homeless services "cash cow", nor those who want to increase Gentrification and Classism, nor those who oppose any sort of change. He does appear to be for real, and he gets it. Never been so of any San Diego elected politician in history. So, the test is this: If he is for real, he will actually support these things, and they will happen. If he is just playing politics, he will instead cooperate with the finding of excuses. Simple.

The first evaluation of all this has to do with the **Time-Line.** What can be done at this very instant, even without first raising money, talking incessantly, or getting laws passed and housing built? Last issue, we called for the **Repeal of Ordinances dealing with sleeping in cars, and Overnight Parking.** Cost? Funding? Quickness to produce results? Yes, there could be some potential problems, but allow parking and vehicle sleeping during overnight hours only, and you must be outside of the late-night business districts, such as bar districts. This one is cheap and fast.

The second line of defense has to be the **elimination of waste.** I see at least \$8 million in waste in the San Diego Housing Commission budget, and wrote about that in the May 1 issue. Here it is, in summary: The Housing Commission is wasting money on their **San Diego Homeless News, 05-29-2017, Page 2**

\$300,000 for Street Outreach, their \$6.6 million for Landlord Engagement, and their \$1.1 million for Moving On. Money is also being wasted outside of the Housing Commission, by the City's current Redevelopment Agency, which is Civic San Diego (also called by the names of its two main programs, the Downtown Partnership and Clean & Safe). Sticking with the Housing Commission, because it is too difficult to get detailed information on the Civic San Diego budget, \$8 million is the total of the above programs which should be eliminated, of the total \$82 million in San Diego's HUD budget. That's about 10%.

**Other waste,** either as a result of the time factor or cost factor, includes such things as just 300 new units of Permanent Supportive Housing, and 733 vouchers that may or may not be usable because of a dire shortage of places to use those vouchers. Yes, it is far too expensive to add housing, plus far too slow a process. We need to consider campgrounds, tiny home shelters, and a lot of other solutions, temporary or permanent, that do not cost so much and do not take so long to construct. In some of my ideas, I suggested Japanese Cube-tels, and even City-owned for-profit cheap housing. Some of this could even be in rural areas, provided there is frequent daily transportation into and out of those areas.

So, where would the current wasted money be better directed? **Diversion and Prevention,** where the budget is only \$2 million, is one place. And, **Rapid Rehousing** is another good use of funds, their budget being \$7.6 million for 600 people over 3 years at an average of \$11,000 per person. So far, all of these are Proactive. The fourth element, not mentioned, is ESG's, which were once called Emergency Shelter Grants, and are now called **Emergency Solutions Grants.** This is money given by the determination of a Social Worker to help a person or family avoid homelessness. The basic concept of all this is that preventing homelessness is extremely less expensive than dealing with it after it happens. So, less money for more results. ESG's are one-time-only as opposed to ongoing and continuous.

Once homelessness occurs, the next line of defense is **temporary emergency shelters,** which are very expensive, and do absolutely nothing to improve the homelessness situation, just to hide it, costing many lives in the process in terms of quality-of-life issues. This phase keeps people off the sidewalk, but damages them nonetheless. And, when the point is reached that there are no more shelter beds, then we see **street and sidewalk homeless.** The costs there are staggering, dealing with stink, public health, loss of tourism, loss of business investments, cleanup costs, temporary storage costs, livability of neighborhoods, prestige of the City, real estate values, retail business profitability, and much more. **This results in homeless who become substance abusers, and also mentally ill.** Now the added expense of not only housing, but also many other societal costs such as dope peddling, spreading habits of drug addiction, jails and prisons, psychological counseling, psychiatry, and another whole big mess that costs San Diego dearly, forever. Unfortunately, San Diego's 30 or more years of complete and total neglect has caused this scenario.

In summary of my disagreements with Chris Ward, and keep in mind that I agree with 95% and only disagree with 5%, "nuisance housing" may not work to be converted, because of the neighborhoods involved. And, Project Homeless Connect, as well as other well-intentioned projects of the San Diego Housing Commission, are more waste than progress. As for Outreach, I support professional (HOT-PERT) outreach, but not that of the Housing Commission nor Clean & Safe. Too much cost, too much harassment, building a lack of cooperation and leading to "impolite" and "objectionable" homeless. I also disagree with the support of downtown's "Maker's Quarters" because the area is already way, way, way too over-developed.

The whole homeless problem, plus our current inability to handle it, is the fault of the Planning Agencies in the past, such as Lesar Development (wife of State Legislator Toni Atkins), they all failing to set aside enough land and money for the homeless situation the over-development caused. And, of course, no solution can have any effect while the City's Civic San Diego (sometimes called by its product names, which are Downtown Partnership and Clean & Safe) continues to tear down over 1,000 units of inexpensive housing per year, for something like 15 years running. Affordable Housing? Yes, for every 1,000 units torn down, there were 100 units to replace them. We got where we are on the homeless situation via many years of Propaganda, Misinformation, Deceit, and back-room wrangling. Tripling the amount the City spends on the homeless, from \$1.1 million to \$3.3 million? Outside of the more "unusual stuff" proposed by Chris Ward (and myself), I do not see that, plus all that the Housing Commission does, even keeping up with the current expansion of the homeless problem. So is it impossible to manage? No, but it is a very extreme emergency, and actually threatens to bring down the whole City. Fortunately, there now seems to be the willingness, finally, to listen to some of the more "experienced" homeless, so maybe some results can

occur. The recurring theme I see in the plans of the Mayor and also the Housing Commission are that it all involves too much cost for too little benefit in return. I am going to continue the theme of this editorial, proposing yet more suggestions, so if I have already bored you with my rambling, it is about to get worse.

## Editorial on the Chris Ward material and similar:

### Investments Versus Expenses

Picture this: The City buys 3 ground-floor adjacent downtown condos, knocks out a few walls between them, and does not remodel them, using them for a Homeless Intake Center. Cost? Minimal. Time frame? Also minimal. The City can sell these condos in the future, when the homeless are other places than downtown, so this is an investment, not an expense. Big-dollar intake centers do not move easily, cost way too much of somebody else's money (including yours and mine) and take way too long a delay to construct. Bad idea.

We know from the HMIS and CES data that homeless DO NOT move to areas because of services being available there. Give me an excellent apartment in City Heights, free, and I will not use it. Why gets into things like anti-White Racism and way more. Mostly, though, it just isn't my neighborhood. So, do we spend money on lots of shelters and housing in Vista and Escondido that do not get used? No, we listen to the experts that have the correct data. I call for other 24-hour Homeless Intake Centers in Midway, Ocean Beach, Mission Bay Area, Pacific Beach, La Jolla, Gaslamp District of Downtown, Petco Park Area, and Little Italy. Conventional housing, not remodeled. For how long? As long as homeless are a problem there. The actual location gets periodically moved away from the neighborhood, a gradual effect. The housing used gets sold by the City, and other housing is bought with the money.

You don't want that in your backyard? It is temporary, plus gets the homeless off of your street. They are indoors now. And, this intake facility gets moved half a mile east in about 18 months from now. More homeless show up? We are shipping them to another location, the new intake facility half a mile east of you.

These intake centers will be used to move homeless, not perpetuate them, and will go in wherever homeless are a problem. A single intake center creates a huge transportation problem, so this fixes Salt Lake County's biggest mistake. To get in, you must be photographed and fingerprinted, nothing else.

East County, same format, but other options exist, such as campgrounds. How much do they cost as opposed to buildings? And, how long do they take to construct? This campground would have a pavilion with hot showers, flush toilets, a laundromat, pay phones, cell phone charging, lockers, and vending machines. That would be a magnet for area homeless? Gee, I hope so! No outreach is possible in areas that are rural, because of the ability to hide and the lay of the land. Contact point is probably grocery stores.

In all cases of dealing with the homeless, voters get to approve being a Type A Area or a Type B Area. The voters in your precinct or group of precincts either vote to prohibit homeless from their area, instead paying a tax for homeless to be taken care of elsewhere, OR they vote to allow homeless to be taken care of in their precinct(s), but at no cost whatsoever to those living there. In either case, the combined HOT-PERT team will deal with those homeless being outreached.

## Meetings:

**MAPSS**, (Metropolitan Association of Providers of Social Services), met April 26, and I was unable to cover that meeting because of being very ill with Hepatitis A. I cover their meeting on May 24, next page, lower-left. ♦♦♦♦♦

### The Basic Dignity Coalition,

a committee of **Think Dignity** (formerly known as the Girls Think Tank) met May 4, 2017, at 705 Sixteenth St., 6 PM, per usual. A highly-productive meeting, and here are the updates: The Street Boutique, which gives out tampons, bras, underwear, etc., will now also distribute condoms. • HEAL with heART held a very successful gallery show, selling the art of homeless folks and raising \$500 in 2 hours, 80% of which goes to the homeless artists themselves. About 75 pieces were sold to about 40 customers. • The Props 64 and 47 Relief Clinics helped 37. • The East County Homelessness Task Force met and is arranging communications, service providers, etc. • Healthy Food Access is expanding restaurant meal access to healthy foods via new EBT alternatives, and is trying to find out why more homeless are not

enrolled in the \$200/month free foods programs (CalFresh, EBT Food, SNAP, Food Stamps). This is an effort of County MOD Squad (Mobile Operations Dignity). • An East Village Cleanup was held on Sat., May 13. • Ideas are sought for a new Street Cookbook. (I've written several but do not have time for that right now.) • Dignity Defenders, a different committee of Think Dignity, is currently studying the criminalization of homelessness, and is preparing lawsuits dealing with Encroachment and Stay-Away Orders. • My advice as an activist was this: Take what you are given and is stipulated, then fight only those battles that you can win easily. Next take those things which have a good chance of success, spending resources frugally, and finally never waste resources on those battles you have no chances of winning. ♦♦♦♦♦

### The San Diego City Council

met in open session May 16, hearing a wide variety of City Budget issues, with all Council Members present. **Non-Agenda Public Comment:** Water Man David Ross complained that we now have 9 thousand homeless. Chris Ross said San Diego needs to restore **Public Art** money, and that the \$4 million in pay raises would hurt the Pension Deficit. Hud Collins had the same complaint, but added that San Diego has \$18 billion in real estate assets, but only \$6 billion in Liabilities. He suggested putting the **Pension Plan** on the Docket. Kathryn Rhodes said that the Union Tribune was suckered and that **most pay raises are accomplished as riders on Consent Items**. These are laws that are usually not debated, and usually deal with "Proclamation Days" and similar stuff. She said that many of the recent pay raises, using the categories of "Program Manager" or "Program Coordinator" to avoid the voter-approved freeze on City pay raises, were actually staff members deciding to give themselves raises, the City Council not having enough time to attend all of the things being done by their staffs. • **Action Items Which Concern Our Causes:** There is a new State Law that says Cities must make all Redevelopment and no-longer-needed real estate assets **available for Affordable Housing, first**. Civic San Diego's (Downtown Partnership - Clean & Safe) Senior Project Manager was grilled by several on the City Council, including David Alvarez and Chris Ward. Many parcels that are to be sold by the City have unknown values, **one being appraised 4 years ago to be worth only one dollar, and now worth over \$6 million**. JDLR? (Just Doesn't Look Right?) Yup. The projects being considered for sale do have significant problems with them, stated Christine Zortman of Civic San Diego. Chris Ward asked, "Did CSD ever consider housing?" • On the proposed **Temporary Special Issues City Council Committee on the Homeless**, the Committee will consist of Chris Ward, Chairman, plus Georgette Gomez, Laurie Zapf, and Chris Cate. **Public Comments** were that the homeless should be able to attend these committee meetings, via provisions to store their belongings at some form of meeting check-in, much like during Project Homeless Connect. It was suggested that **Think Dignity**, which currently does some homeless storage, could be contracted to do that for the meetings. • Another comment on compassion. • **Jeannie Criscenzo** (Amikas Veterans Housing, Tiny Home Villages), suggested declaration of a Shelter Emergency, in order to get some of the California Shelter Crisis Act money. She also appealed to Laurie Zapf, who gave the story of her daughter almost being sex-trafficked, and reminded her that there are many women on our sidewalks who face that problem every day. • **Mike McConnell**, in an abrupt about-face from his most recent San Diego CityBeat editorial that was super-optimistic, said we need to disrupt our current Status Quo, designed for thousands on our streets, and oppose what I call the "Cash Cow" that drives our present ineffective way of dealing with homelessness. He said that most fall thru the cracks of our billion-dollar dysfunctional shelter systems, instead getting their basic needs such as being able to excrete wastes and sleep becoming criminalized by the City funding this system. He said it is about time that the County used some of its hundreds of millions of dollars in homeless funding. • One speaker said that the Great Recession of 2006 caused his homelessness (it did mine, too) and warned not to waste this opportunity to present worthwhile proposals. He said the Committee should have a homeless person on-staff, and that social groups need to be at the table when decisions are made. • **Kathryn Rhodes** said that the San Diego Housing Commission has **\$244 million** in cash reserves and the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency has **\$11.2 million** in the bank to combat homelessness. She also suggested that the former Chargers Practice Area would be great for a Tiny Shelter Homes project because it already has toilets and showers. **Reactions by City Council Members:** Myrtle Cole, City Council President, spoke in favor of the new Homeless Committee. Georgette Gomez said that homelessness is "no longer just a downtown issue" and that we now "need to disrupt the present system". Scott Sherman said that when the San Diego River was cleaned and the homeless evicted, he ran into 3 who had arrest warrants, 3 who had drug issues, and 1 who was okay with getting help. He did say that it's a tough issue, and we need a new set of ways to solve the problem. (I agree. **San Diego Homeless News, 05-29-2017, Page 3**

How often do I agree with him?) David Alvarez said we need **policy direction from experienced homeless persons**, and we also need help from the County. (I agreed with everything that everyone said in the entire meeting. Since I am usually mostly a critic, how interesting is that?) • The City Attorney Update (Closed Session) on the lawsuit challenging the **Tourism Marketing District** passed unanimously, so it looks like SANDOG (**San Diegans for Open Government**) wins that one. I suggested they be called SANsFOG, "Without Fog, Transparent". Dr. John Kitchin, Publisher, SD Homeless News. ♦♦♦♦♦

### My Editorial on the Meeting:

I presented a speech that had the point that homelessness affects everyone, not just the homeless. I noticed that the television news media were absent from this meeting. I was happy that Council Members Alvarez and Ward support having some sort of advice from a "Professional Homeless", a thought that I have been shouting about for over ten years now. Good. Scott Sherman is still trying to make all of this "criminal behavior", but since my point was that homelessness affects everybody, not just the homeless, he helped me make my point. **So, is the new Homeless Committee just a political re-election ploy?** Well, it is **only for a year**, ending at the Primary Election next year in 2018. And, there is the option of extending it half a year from then, until the **November election next year in 2018**. Next, look at who is up for re-election next year. Okay? 100% political-only, making it look like plenty is being done, although so far nothing is being done. Pointing things like this out is why I chair the Homeless Editorial Board. I have volunteered to accept the 0.5 Full-Time-Employee position of Homeless Committee Consultant, at the salary offered, but **it looks like I would be the last person they want on this team, even if it actually saved a lot of time and money, and solved the homeless problem**. I write more after the next meeting, below. ♦♦♦♦♦

### The RTFH (Regional Task Force on the Homeless) Governing Board Meeting

of Thursday, May 18, 2017, 3 PM, 1600 Pacific Highway, Rooms 302 and 303: Note: Next month's meeting may be at a different location. • **Mayor Faulconer spoke** about being optimistic and working together, approving tripling the amount of money the City spends on its homeless program. He also plugged his expensive new Intake Center. • **Executive Officer Updates:** A new Chief for this nonprofit is being sought, to start work July 1. **There is an important mandatory RTFH Regular Meeting June 15 which MUST be attended by all members.** Focus Strategies will be delivering its Phase One plan on June 30. Websites are being combined for RTFH and RCCC. **San Diego was NOT selected** as a demo for the Youth Homeless Demo Program, but will get technical assistance for the SDYS, our lead agency on homeless youth. HUD is conducting a **competition for \$18 million** in Continuum of Care funding. • Nick Marchione of County Health Services spoke of the **Hepatitis A outbreak**, 536 vaccinations given, 90 cases resulting in 82% hospitalized, and 3 deaths. Literature was available. • **Action Items: Community Standards** for delivering things like housing have been proposed and were approved, as required by HEARTH, a federal law. San Diego mandates that all plans meet **Housing First** requirements, use **HMIS data** inputting, operate with **dignity**, and there are **performance benchmarks** for each category of services provided. All Action Items passed unanimously. • **Kathryn Rhodes** spoke of creating communities of temporary housing in the City Reservoir areas, since the water in these areas is not used for drinking, anyway, but for emergency fire suppression. The most promising site for a homeless campground is the old **Chargers practice area** in Mission Valley, which already has toilets, showers, trolley access, parking, and cooking facilities. • **Chris Shilling spoke about CES Compliance** (Coordinated Entry System), which makes it possible to base who gets what resources according to needs instead of first-come-first-served as presently. CES was once called CAHP, Coordinated Access Housing Placement, which is the same thing, and which we base upon VI-SPDAT, which stands for Vulnerability Index - Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool. It is for triage, determining who needs a particular sort of service the most. 83% of agencies are now complying, with the other 17% having approved plans to convert to this required system. Current problems are lack of housing, lack of housing navigators, and side-door agencies which do not go thru this triage process. There is also a problem getting "results" data from agencies that have helped people. **So, how do we force compliance?** Well, HUD-funded agencies lose their funding if not complaint with CES data requirements, but some agencies are funded by entirely other money, or even private money. How do we get this data? Only the Funding Agencies can force compliance with the collection of data that benefits the entire HMIS (Homeless Management Information System) process, so that we know exactly what works and what doesn't and how much each item costs us. • 94% of all funding via this Board comes from HUD. • **Focus Strategies CEO Megan Kurteff Schatz** then spoke of the upcoming Phase One community plan update. Their program will take our over 300 present

programs and make them into one coherent system. Their **Phase One is due June 30**, and will provide a framework, including a **Homeless Crisis Response System**. Part of this is to identify **San Diego City-County starting points**, which means identification of what resources currently exist. Then there needs to be a planning process determining exactly **where all this needs to go**. Part of this is a 12-month Action Plan, which coincidentally ends about the time of next year's Primary Election, about the same time as the Homeless Committee is approved to end unless extended another half-year until the General Election. Don't blame Focus Strategies, as they are hired to do all this in accordance with City and County specifications. **Then, Phase Two will use data** that has been collected to identify both what works best for the money available, plus plan entirely new strategies based upon all known research for our area. I attempted to ask a question regarding ESG's, Emergency Solutions Grants, formerly called Emergency Shelter Grants, but was not permitted to do so for something like 15 minutes, that alarming several people, but it was time for the meeting to end. ♦♦♦♦♦

**My Editorial on this Meeting:** I presented a Non-Agenda Public Comment that I would be interested in serving as the "Homeless Committee Advisor". Why me? Doctorate in Applied Psychology, 30 years a homeless advocate in San Diego, 10 years a homeless person (via my broad definitions) in San Diego, and, most important, 8 years the Publisher of the San Diego Homeless News. That latter category, collecting news, ends up making one much more of an expert than they even want to be. After the meeting, two women in the audience said that I was the only speaker there who made complete sense (I disagree with that opinion) and said I should have forced County Supervisor Ron Roberts to allow my one question. Sorry, I do not do things like that. I did, via a Speaker Slip, complain about the lack of homeless representation on this Board, however. That might ruffle a few feathers, but I am just trying to get the right sort of inputs and knowledge necessary so that the City and County are playing with a full deck instead of the "Voodoo Economics" of Ronald Reagan. **Can I keep the City's secrets?** I already have all that, because of serving in the Underground News Media, and 80% of what I know about all that ilk has not been compromised. I sometimes mention new things, but only as necessary. All news media are also intelligence agencies, by their very nature. A bigger question is whether San Diego really wants an "Expert Homeless Person", in the words of City Council Member David Alvarez, or whether the City wants compliance which distorts what it is doing, per usual. I don't know. The biggest problem I pose for the City is Transparency. So, I call for this: I will hurry up and get information and my take on the homeless situation to Mark Ward, asking for his take on my 5.1 Regional Plan, too. After that, is he for real, or is he just the slickest politician I ever met? One or the other will be obvious, which is what I mean about myself and Transparency. I met about 2 months ago with Roberto Alcantar, who was the Council Representative of Chris Ward, but he is not that anymore. I had that same problem with my Police Liaison representative, one of the Assistant Chiefs, as well as my former City Council liaison, who was Tony Young. Young resigned about ten days after a meeting with me in which things like corruption were discussed. He had a stenographer take down every word, too. Coincidence? I hope so, but this, too, is what I mean by my threat to the City being "Transparency". I mean good, not harm.

**MAPSS, Metropolitan Area Providers of Social Services**, met the fourth Thursday of May, the 26<sup>th</sup>, at 10 AM, in the offices of Second Chance, 6145 Imperial Ave., Encanto, about a block west of the trolley station there, with 21 professionals present, a better-than-average showing for this time of year. I observed that there were fewer County employees and fewer attorneys than usual, and more religious leaders. • **Announcements:** Many service providers are hiring. Joe Zivlinis will be out of town from May 29<sup>th</sup> thru June 14<sup>th</sup>, so group mail-outs may require the facilities of this news group, temporarily. Section 8 Vouchers are now considered to be worthless, because nearly no landlord will accept them. A flood at Catholic Charities San Diego will require them to find a different building. Many other service providers are moving, too. • The provider doing a presentation today, **San Diego Employment Solutions**, a part of **Mental Health Systems** of the San Diego County Health and Human Services Dept. This agency uses a maximum caseload of 25 clients per social worker, which is one advantage of using it. It provides supportive employment, by coaching those who are seriously psychiatrically handicapped, age 18 or older, who have had no employment for at least the past 4 months. They also help employers to obtain staffing, temporary or permanent for a variety of jobs, and will meet to determine your needs. They include as necessary the coordination of interviews, orientation of new hires, and will provide work training and/or OJT (On-the-Job Training). They work with WRAP (Wellness Recovery Action Plan) nationwide, and some self-employment help is also offered. It was mentioned that employers get tax breaks when they hire the handicapped, but most handicapped do

not admit same. Bus passes can be had free in this program, if available, and if not State DOR (Dept. of Rehabilitation) will provide them. **Important Note: NCTD, the North County Transit District, is way less strict about certifications of disability status compared to MTS, especially regarding mental disabilities, and all agencies need to note this.** • **StreetLinks Planning:** StreetLinks is an in-service training program for social service caseworkers and administrators. A three-hour college course in whatever is determined to be a problem requiring new knowledge. It is free and public, and put on an average of twice yearly by this group of nonprofits. The next StreetLinks will be in July or August, and feature compliance with CES (Coordinated Entry System, formerly called CAHP, for Coordinated Access Housing Placement), as well as how the VI-SPDAT (input questionnaire) is to be administered to all new clients. A panel of experts will be found to teach this seminar. ♦♦♦♦♦

## Feedback:

Daniel Beeman, who helps to update the times and locations of free meal programs, wrote two e-mails to myself and many others in the local media. I answered, on behalf of the media people he contacted, giving him information regarding some of his concerns and beliefs.

## News Media News:

The **best recent article** was by the San Diego Union-Tribune's business reporter **James Dehaven, May 23, 2017**, "Developer says San Diego rejected her idea for Affordable Housing." [sandiegouniontribune.com/business/growth-development/sd-me-container-homes-20170522-story.html](http://sandiegouniontribune.com/business/growth-development/sd-me-container-homes-20170522-story.html)

**CityBeat's Editorials** continue to be very good, such as the April 26 issue's **"Indivisible they stand" editorial by Torrey Bailey** about the Indivisible Project. What was not said is that "Occupy Wall St." is actually much bigger today than it ever was, but it gets called by many other names. The police took over Occupy as much as they could, per usual, dividing it up into ever-smaller units, such as "Occupy the west side of 34th St." They also wrote "official" comments that the whole group was disbanded, and lots more. "Indivisible" is largely an attempt to deal with those protest groups that the police were not successful in taking over. San Diego Berniecrats is one Facebook page today, and so is Election Scam Clearinghouse. Occupy SD became a closed group to try to keep the cops out, but was unsuccessful at that. The biggest Occupy group in San Diego is Women Occupy San Diego. • What **Michael McConnell** wrote in their May 3 edition is really overly-optimistic, but his take at the City Council Meeting on May 16 was quite different, however, and I cover that in the **Meetings Section**. CityBeat Editor **Seth Combs** continues to have a very valid evaluation of the whole situation. More recently, the homeless news article by Jaimie Ballard in their May 24 issue gives the story of the women's side of homelessness. I have reported on that for nearly ten years, following what was once a group of female attorneys called the "Girls Think Tank" and is now called Think Dignity. Their Women's Committee currently gives out tampons and other feminine-hygiene related items, including panties and bras. I write about that in the Meetings Section of this edition, Basic Dignity Coalition, page 3, lower-left. Currently their committee is conducting a survey of homeless women in order to have better data on the problem. They are concerned that Sex Housing (which they call Survival Sex) is not working out for as many women, due to the aging of the homeless population. The primary reason for fewer female homeless than males has long been known to involve Sex Housing, a tradeoff of housing in return for sex, with no romantic relationship necessarily present. This is most prevalent in the Beaches areas. Ballard's article mentions Transitional Housing, which has largely been a past failure, and HUD is looking to reduce funding for or eliminate most programs of that sort. There is also the problem that women are more easily victimized by religion, religious victimization being one of the primary causes of homelessness (along with economic problems). I have personally lost female friends to suicide who became religious victims.

The **San Diego Reader** had an interesting cover story in its May 25, 2017 edition by Thom Senzee, "Sometimes this looks like heaven", about the young homeless in Ocean Beach. I fed them for years at the corner of Saratoga Street at the ocean, eventually becoming one of those becoming fed. I disagree that substance abuse and mental illness are key causes of homelessness, but I define "homeless" differently. Once you place all of your possessions into storage and move into a fleabag hotel, you are homeless. You may have housing, but not a home. It is at that time people develop drug and alcohol use, eventually hitting the sidewalk.

And, unfortunately, Finding Jesus leads just as often to Religious Victimization as it does to a better life.

The whole process of Abusive Spirituality has lost me friends to suicide. I was myself a victim of religion many times, until eventually diagnosed as same by a Bishop. Nowadays I do teach some Seminary. I shudder when I think of the Big Box churches like the Rock Church becoming involved with the homeless.

[sandiegoreader.com/news/2017/may/24/cover-looks-like-heaven/](http://sandiegoreader.com/news/2017/may/24/cover-looks-like-heaven/)

## JDLR (Just Doesn't Look Right)

How come the same person raises money for the political campaigns of both Republican Mayor Kevin Faulconer and Democratic City Council Member Chris Ward? • Why do the new Homeless Committee and also the Phase One homeless plan from Focus Strategies all expire at the time of the 2018 San Diego City and County Officials Primary Election? • Why is the Convention Center Expansion being bundled with money for road improvements and homeless services? Doesn't the law say that a Referendum must be about one thing, and one thing only? • Why does the City Council refuse to deal with those who wish to build conventional (not even taxpayer subsidized) Affordable Housing? See the San Diego Union-Tribune, Business Section, Dan McSwain's article May 23, link at the beginning of the article before this. • Why does the Mayor call for Landlord Involvement when that plan housed only 4 homeless in over one year's time, at a cost of millions of tax dollars? • Why does the City spend \$300,000 a year on Homeless Outreach when the churches and nonprofits will do that free? •

## Breaking News:

I tried to make it to the Chula Vista Homeless Connect project, the Day of Hope, May 26, but it was so far off of any bus or trolley line that I arrived at the end-time. San Diego County often has the problem of poor bus access to its help-points, which is also a problem accessing Crisis House in El Cajon. Poor people can usually not afford cars. This is especially a handicap issue.

## Political News:

Super-conservative San Diego talk-show host Carl DeMaio is attempting to recall State Senator Josh Newman, to end the two-thirds Democratic majority in the State Senate. Petitions and fund-raising drives were sponsored by certain conservative broadcasters that also seem to have an Organized Crime agenda. Okay, but DeMaio is accusing Governor Brown of using Mafia Thugs to intimidate petitioners, and of using Mob labor-union politics, too. Since California does not have a State Police Intelligence Agency (most states do) it becomes difficult to tell who is telling the truth. And, since California is the only state to not have County oversight of the Cities contained within each County, well, the picture becomes even more blurry. Since Recalls are expensive and almost never accomplish anything useful, we support Sen. Josh Newman and Governor Brown in their struggle to avoid Tea Party Politics. See the political cartoon at the end of this article. I ask that the California Underground Press Association use its investigative news reporters to try to get the real data on all of this. Maybe Newman can replace Gov. Brown when he retires.

In the 1950s & 1960s when the top tax rate was 70-92%, we laid the interstate system, built the Internet, put a man on the moon, defeated Communism, our education system was the envy of the world, our middle class thriving, our economy unparalleled.

You want that back?  
Raise taxes on the rich.

Credit: <http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1563339/pg1>

## Mexico Update:

Drug murders are down, with most folks in the dope trade now already dead, and most of their hit-men in prison for murder. • Gasoline is now \$3.75 U.S. per gallon U.S., or Unleaded Regular for \$3.37, and that is what the gasoline protests have been all about. Also remember that cheating 10% on Weights and Measures is legal in Mexico. • The old PedEast San Ysidro Border Crossing into the US has been upgraded and is now capable of additional service. • The new PedWest Border Crossing, called Chaparral in Tijuana, is still lacking many things such as seats to **San Diego Homeless News, 05-29-2017, Page 4**

wait for a bus, sufficient trash receptacles, toilets outside of the Customs building, handicapped access, sufficient busses to get to the Trolley, and, of course, there are no buses on the Mexican side that go to this crossing. My opinions WERE solicited before this project was begun, but everything I said was ignored.

## Snide Comments:

Al Capone Wrigley's brothel, the Chicago Club, still operates but it must be on its tenth owner or so. They take MasterCard and Visa. Started during Prohibition, Mexico never outlawed alcohol, so this Tijuana bar was a big hit with US tourists. • If you ever wanted to visit a British bawdy-house from the era of William Shakespeare, try the Hong Kong Club in Tijuana, a service of the Chinese mafia. One of their competitors there allegedly calls itself "The Ho Depot". • "The one piece of legal advice that you do not have to be a lawyer to give is that you ought to go see a lawyer." – John Schlitz (John Kitchin) working with Survival Center Legal Aid in Milwaukee, WI. • There are new chocolate bars in Mexico imported by Nestle-Russia. • Welcome to the United States, the most recent nation acquired by the Russian Federation. •



When you're cooking & the recipe says "chill in the fridge for one hour"



Published by San Diego County's 11% (330,000) Homeless Rotation, 15,000 to 40,000 (on any given day) Unhoused, and 2 Million+ Nearly-Homeless\* (Official HUD Statistic for what THEY call San Diego's "Cost Burdened". The State of California calls them "Financially Stressed.") San Diego: At Least Triple the Homeless by Percent of Anywhere Else in the World



We go out to 161,000, usually every 20 to 40 days, more often when necessary. COPYRIGHT 2017, ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. Dr. John Kitchin, Ph.D., Publisher. Kitchin wrote a published book on homelessness in 1995. Biography at [NZ9F.com/bio](http://NZ9F.com/bio). FREE by e-mail or on-line.

**OUR EIGHTH YEAR: 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017**

Our website at [NZ9F.com](http://NZ9F.com) has archives of all editions, and you can either subscribe or cancel your free subscription by sending an e-mail to [nz9f@hotmail.com](mailto:nz9f@hotmail.com), from the mailbox you wish to add or delete. If you want to be notified when we publish, sign up at Twitter for @NZ9F (all capitals). We do not send any other tweets, except emergencies. Library of Congress Catalog Number - URL: [www.nz9f.com/sdhnarchives2017/May29](http://www.nz9f.com/sdhnarchives2017/May29)

Being homeless on the sidewalk is a lot like being at the zoo, except you're the exhibit.

[www.NZ9F.com/SDHN](http://www.NZ9F.com/SDHN)

[nz9f@hotmail.com](mailto:nz9f@hotmail.com)

(619) 390-5000

Twitter: @NZ9F (all caps) • Facebook: [John.Kitchin1](https://www.facebook.com/John.Kitchin1) or [San Diego Homeless News](https://www.facebook.com/SanDiegoHomelessNews) • YouTube: [NZ9F](https://www.youtube.com/NZ9F) (all caps)

We represent the world's fastest-growing ethnic group, the homeless, the only group that gets NO protection from discrimination in employment, housing, education, nor hate-crimes. We are

those who suffer because the wealthy own everything. No one WANTS to be homeless nor CHOOSES to be homeless. It happens when everything you do to prevent it fails to work. Making anything illegal that cannot be prevented makes no sense. Instead, make it illegal for circumstances to force people to become homeless against their will. Once homeless, people will need to use booze or dope, just to stay sane, and many lose that fight, too, becoming mentally ill and often killing themselves. This ends the problems that society forced upon them. Self-Servatives (Con-Servatives, Con Artists that Serve themselves) cause funerals, but they don't mind, because they don't believe in God.

These are the same people who invented God, so they could control you and make money off you. They fill your head with propoganda from the day you are born. The Bar (Democrats and Republicans of the British and Italian Mafias and the Jewish Cabals) licenses attorneys and runs the court system, religious system, and news media. Welcome to Reality! They make money by expanding the population, with major holdings in both real estate and food production. They hate population reduction, and have forced women to have babies, even though herbs for both birth control and pregnancy termination have been available for thousands of years. That is also why they hate same-sex marriage, as it does not automatically produce biological children, and why they hate suicide and birth control, both of which reduce population. They also hate war, unless they can make money on it. "They" is the Middle Templar Bar of London.

The Bar of London is a franchise of the Swiss Nazi Knights Templar, which is descended from the Pharaohs of Ancient Egypt. They operate the World Bank, Freemasons, and Illuminati, as well as the Italian Mafia. Sometimes called the Pharistocracy, or Pharaoh Aristocracy, this group is responsible for there having been kings and queens, as well as the use of hypnosis to control the public via religion. They control the world's food supply, adding drugs to same in order to control and enslave the public. Many of them are not even from this planet.

Knights templar, see The pharaoh show, the swiss nazi templars, url: [octogon.falconsafe.com](http://octogon.falconsafe.com)



A "Guild" is a secret conspiracy group that places their blood and semen in the food that everybody eats. In Hoc Signo Vinces, "In this sign you will conquer". Domini Nos Dirige, "Lord (a British Lord, not God) guide us", motto of the City of London, founded 43 AD, and Pagan until 1300 AD. The super-secret Jesuit Knights of Malta are a group that founded the Illuminati of the Rothschild-Rockefeller Family, and part of the New World Order. See the back of a dollar bill. Chatzefratz refers to the supposedly reptilian aliens who once controlled this planet. The Nazi leaders are said to have started Eugenics, the control of human breeding, due to these beings. Take some of this with a grain of salt. Below, some of the more modern agencies of this same group.



**San Diego Homeless News, 05-29-2017, Page 5, END.**